

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/681,708	10/08/2003	Hsi-Fa Chuang	SAINT-001 5884		
75	90 09/28/2004	EXAMINER			
BRACEWELL & PATTERSON, L.L.P.			VALENZA, JOSEPH E		
P.O. BOX 969 AUSTIN, TX 78767-0969			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3651		
			DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/681,70	98	CHUANG, HSI-FA		9			
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Joseph V		3651					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION usions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statue eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no eve ply within the state d will apply and wi ute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be tim story minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·							
2a) <u></u> ☐	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☑ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>7-9</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from cor							
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[] :	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.							
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) $\square$ ac	ccepted or b)	$\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		*	• •					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	<del>-</del>	=						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea  ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have bee nts have bee iority docume au (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Application ents have been received e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment	• •								
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date	В)	5) Notice of Informal Pa		<b>-</b> 152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito et al in view of Frommenwiler et al.

In Ito et al, the article-conveying line 103 has sliding carriers 110 moved past stations ST by manual pushing (column 1 lines 55-57) or by driven means (column 3, lines 18-21). Carrier-returning line 104 is inclined so that carriers are driven by gravity from first shifting unit 140 to second shifting unit 120. Column 8, lines 17-20 disclose that a powered drive could be used in place of or in addition to the force of gravity along the return line.

As there is no proof that the article being conveyed and worked on is critical to the operation of the claimed system, the articles conveyed in Ito et al are functional equivalents. It would also have been obvious that the controller 170 of Ito et al would additionally control the carrier drive means along the article-conveying line 103 and the carrier-returning line 104 just as it controls the first shifting unit 140 and the second shifting unit 120. The time to convey the carriers the article-conveying line 103 is greater than the time to convey the carriers along the carrier-returning line 104 due to the work times added to the article-conveying line.

Frommenwiler et al has a similar closed path system where the carriers on the article-conveying line 17, 22, etc. are driven simultaneously passed workstations with the same cycle time. It would have been obvious to add this teaching to the article-conveying line 103 of Ito et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/681,708 Page 3

Art Unit: 3651

With regard to claim 3, there is no proof that the position of the carrier-returning line relative to the article-conveying line is critical to the operation of the system.

With regard to claim 4, the design of the first driving unit over the teachings in column 3, lines 18-21 of Ito et al or drives 17, 22, etc. in Frommenwiler et al is an obvious matter of design choice because the prior art drives are functional equivalents.

With regard to claim 5, the design of the second driving unit over the teachings in column 8, lines 17-20 of Ito et al is an obvious matter of design choice because the prior art drive is a functional equivalent.

- 2. Claims 7-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 3. Schmidt is pertinent.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Joseph E. Valenza at telephone number (703) 308-2577. Amendments may be faxed to (703) 872-9306. My normal work week is Monday through Thursday.

JOSEPH E. VALENZA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Japh Valenza